

Guest Editorial: Computational Vision at Brown

Michael J. Black

Dept. of Computer Science, Box 1910, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA. Phone: +1 401 863-7637, Fax: +1 401 863-7657 (black@cs.brown.edu)

Benjamin B. Kimia

Division of Engineering, Box D, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA . Phone: +1 401 863-1353, Fax: +1 401 863-1157 (kimia@lems.brown.edu)

From the outside it may not be apparent that Brown University has a large, interdisciplinary, and vibrant computer vision community. Despite being a small school (5,674 undergraduate and 1,343 graduate students), Brown has a tightly knit community of vision researchers in various departments. This can be partly seen in this special issue which has contributions from researchers in the Division of Applied Mathematics, the Department of Computer Science, the Division of Engineering, and the Department of Cognitive and Linguistic Sciences. What we find amazing and wonderful about Brown is the high degree of interaction among researchers from these different disciplines.

We hope the papers in this special issue give some glimpse into the computational vision research at Brown. Any collection of this type is only a snapshot at an instant in time and cannot hope to capture the full diversity of work going on here. While there is a broad range of vision research at Brown, our focus here is specifically on conveying a sense of the breadth and depth of *computational vision* research at Brown. Towards that end, we briefly summarize some of the current research efforts that are not represented by articles in this issue and provide some references for the interested reader.

THE DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Elie Bienenstock [7, 8, 9, 10] studies the "compositional" nature of vision and object recognition. Compositionality refers to the ability of natural - and some artificial - vision systems to represent objects as hierarchies of reusable parts. For example, a face is made of eyes, nose, mouth, and each of these is made of simpler constituents. Constituents can come together in an image only if they obey specific relational rules. The compositionality principle has implications for both computational and biological systems. In the brain for example, there must be a mechanism for rapidly and reversibly binding otherwise uncorrelated spatio-temporal patterns of neural activity.

Stuart Geman [32, 33, 34, 54, 35] also studies compositionality. Compositional hierarchies can be viewed as parse trees derived under



© 2003 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

formal grammars. This sets up an equivalence between parsing and scene analysis, and it suggests the use of probabilistic grammars for representing the relative likelihoods of different aggregations of parts and objects. Since the well-studied context-free grammars are inadequate, it is important to craft distributions for more general grammars and to specify feasible algorithms for the inference of these grammars. One natural way to implement compositional hierarchies in biological vision systems would be to exploit fine-temporal structure of neuronal spike trains. Statistical methods are being devised to systematically search for fine-temporal structure in multi-unit neural recordings.

David Mumford studies vision from a broad range of perspectives [61, 57, 62, 58, 63]. His focus has been on constructing probabilistic models for the “variables of vision”. This includes capturing the statistics of natural and range images and modeling occlusion and local image structure. It also addresses the shapes of objects whose recognition requires a formalization of the concept of *similarity* between two shapes. The aim here is to examine various metrics and the associated completions of the space of shapes, the geodesics in these metrics and the curvature of the space.

Basilis Gidas’s current research in computer vision includes: (i) Simultaneous tracking and recognition of moving objects on the basis of video images; the work explores hierarchical/syntactic (context-free-grammar type) object representations and Monte Carlo type filters; (ii) design of scale-invariant, non-Gaussian, 2-D stochastic models for image generation and processing. His past work includes estimation of topographic maps (“shape from shading”), tomographic reconstruction, texture modeling and segmentation, multiscale Monte Carlo simulation and optimization algorithms for image processing tasks [36, 37, 38, 39, 40].

Ulf Grenander is the originator of Pattern Theory whose aim is to analyze from a statistical point of view the patterns in images and other signals. This is an area of strength for Brown where numerous other researches actively use this approach. He is a pioneer of the notion of *computational atlases* where the anatomy is digitally represented as patterns observed in 3D medical image datasets, *e.g.*, from CT, MR, and PET [43, 3, 60]. The goal is to facilitate automatic recognition and component measurement of anatomical structures in these images. Another current interest is Automatic Target Recognition [41, 42] where the research primarily involves developing jump-diffusion algorithms for pattern inference based on knowledge about vehicle dynamics and the physics of sensors. As another example of how computer vision can be studied from the pattern theory perspective, shape can be modeled as templates deformed by Lie group transformations.

Donald McClure is interested in problems that benefit from the use of temporal as well as spatial information for the analysis of image sequences. Examples include the detection and removal of defects from motion pictures, resolution conversion, image stabilization and region-based decomposition of image sequences for more effective motion image compression [29, 30, 31, 55].

THE DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Michael Black's research focuses on motion estimation and motion understanding in video sequences. In particular, his work on optical flow addresses the detection of occlusion boundaries and the estimation of image motion in layers using robust statistical methods and probabilistic models [11, 15]. Understanding the motion of the human body is of special interest but is made challenging by the variability of human appearance, the high dimensionality of articulated body models, and the complexity of human motion. His work on this topic exploits learning methods and probabilistic inference techniques to model the motion of the face and body, their appearance, how they change due to motion, and to track deformable face or articulated body models in image sequences [14, 13, 12].

Thomas Hofmann's research focuses on the theoretical foundations of learning with applications to computer vision and pattern recognition in addition to natural language learning and data mining [1, 46, 70, 47]. Like many vision researchers at Brown his focus is on statistical and information theoretic techniques.

David Laidlaw works primarily in the areas of scientific visualization, computational modeling, and computer graphics. He has worked on geometric model extraction from MR volume data [2] and classification of MR data using Bayesian mixture model techniques [18]. He is currently collaborating with researchers in the Division of Engineering on the digital archaeology project [44].

THE DIVISION OF ENGINEERING

David Cooper [19, 49, 78, 17, 5] has been a pioneer of applying the Bayesian approach to computer vision. His recent research focuses on:

- (i) Automatic extraction of 3D geometrically, algebraically, stochastically modeled structure and semantically meaningful geometry from unordered sets of 3D data points or from images from multiple cameras.
- (ii) Applications to archaeological site data, which includes: Reconstruction of 3D ceramic pot models by automatically assembling laser scans of the many small pot sherds; Indexing into databases of extracted 3D geometric representations; Semi-automatic site reconstruction for

VR viewing. (iii) Using 3D sketching and user-controlled interpolation for high precision, user-intuitive, sculpting in a VR environment. (iv) 3D geometric learning; indexing into image and video databases.

Benjamin Kimia's work focuses on shape representation, object recognition, and perceptual grouping. Robust recognition in the presence of numerous sources of variability requires an explicit topology for shape, constructed by formally studying the transitions of the *shock graph* (with Giblin, this volume), which is a variant of the medial axis (with Tek, this volume). Recognition is based on a metric of dissimilarity which is the cost of the least action deformation path. Computations are made practical by defining equivalence classes and developing an edit-distance approach to shock graph matching. Other research interests include perceptual grouping using shock graphs, exploring the viability of this framework from psychophysics and neurophysiology perspectives, medical imaging, and digital archaeology [51, 72, 77, 73, 53].

Joseph Mundy is working in the area of video processing and analysis with particular emphasis on object recognition and modeling. A current research theme is the concept of active models which combine the activities of modeling and recognition. In this approach, the object model acts as a recognition agent and refines its recognition strategy as more sensor information is accrued. The object representation combines both 3-d geometric and illumination scattering models [64, 65, 71, 66].

Gabriel Taubin's main research interests include: Computer Vision, Applied Computational Geometry, Computer Graphics, Geometric Modeling, and 3D Photography [83, 4, 6, 84, 85]. For the last few years his main line of research has been on the development of efficient, simple, and mathematically sound algorithms to operate on 3D objects represented as polygonal meshes, with an emphasis on Web-based applications. This work has spanned several areas such as: 3D capturing and surface reconstruction, modeling, compression, progressive transmission, and display of polygonal meshes, and mesh signal processing.

William Wolovich's primary research areas are: (i) Object Modeling/Measurement, where a new, primitive, conic/line decomposition of algebraic curves has been developed. This decomposition can outline the boundaries of free-form objects, defines canonical curves for object identification, and can be used to obtain geometric invariants for object identification/classification. (ii) Geometric Design where new methods are being developed for blending two or more two-dimensional profile curves to create three-dimensional sweep surfaces that are easy to model, measure and modify. (iii) Motion Modeling and Control, where motion signature surfaces have been created to analyze databases

containing normal and abnormal motion for the diagnosis ergonomic injuries.

THE DEPARTMENT OF COGNITIVE AND LINGUISTIC SCIENCES

Fulvio Domini studies how the human visual system can exploit the 2D flow of image features to recover structure and motion. In particular he is concerned with mathematical models of how different cues are combined by the brain to interpret a moving scene. In psychophysical experiments, he has shown (i) the visual system relies on properties of the optic flow that are not necessarily sufficient for deriving the projected object and its 3D motion; (ii) the derivation of the 3D structure and motion is based primarily on a heuristic (rather than a veridical mathematical) analysis of the optic flow [20, 21, 22, 23, 24].

Michael Tarr's research focuses on behavioral and computational approaches to object recognition and visual perception [79, 80, 28, 81, 82]. He is interested in perceptual expertise as a model of plasticity in the visual system (and as an explanation for putatively "face-specific" phenomena), the degree to which surface properties such as color or luminance are intrinsic to object vision, and how different kinds of visual information are recruited for tasks such as object recognition or navigation. Earlier work investigated how observers recognize three-dimensional objects from two-dimensional views.

Bill Warren's research focuses on the visual control of action - in particular, human locomotion and navigation [25, 59, 86, 26, 50]. Using virtual reality techniques, his research team investigates problems such as the visual control of balance, steering, and obstacle avoidance during walking. This VR technology allows researchers to manipulate what human subjects see while walking through a virtual landscape, and to measure how they respond to this information. The ultimate aim of this research is to understand how adaptive behavior emerges from the dynamic interaction of an organism and its environment.

THE BRAIN SCIENCES PROGRAM

While our focus here is primarily on researchers studying computational vision, it should be clear that many of us are inspired by biological vision or directly work on neural models of vision. The departments above are all part of a broader Brain Sciences Program where we have many other colleagues who deal primarily with the biological basis of vision. At Brown, research into the cognitive, computational, and neural mechanisms of vision is diverse and interdisciplinary.

For example, **David Sheinberg** in the Department of Neuroscience studies the neural mechanisms of natural vision [74, 75, 76]. **Michael**

Paradiso, also in Neuroscience, studies how neurons code visual information and how the communication and cooperation of these neurons underlies visual perception [67, 68, 69]. Both Scheinberg and Paradiso conduct perception experiments during which the activity of brain cells is recorded.

In the Department of Psychology **Leslie Welch** studies the human visual psychophysics of motion perception, binocular vision, and spatial vision. Her work also addresses issues of perceptual learning in the visual system [88, 89, 90].

In the Department of Physics **Nathan Intrator** studies biological vision as well as image pattern recognition and classification [16, 27, 48]. His work on early vision includes nonlinear feature extraction and dimensionality reduction [48]. His work focuses on neural models of vision, receptive field formation in natural environments, learning in early visual cortex, and learning in network models of vision.

CONCLUSION

We hope you enjoy this issue and will take the opportunity to explore the work of our colleagues in more depth. We also welcome you to come and visit if your travels bring you near Providence. If you like updated information the following web sites may provide addition information:

<http://www.dam.brown.edu/ptg>
<http://www.cs.brown.edu>
<http://www.lems.brown.edu/vision>
<http://www.cog.brown.edu>
<http://www.brainscience.brown.edu>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*****Black to write this*****

References

1. Andrews, S., Tsochantaridis, I., and Hofmann, T., Support vector machines for multiple-instance learning. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (NIPS*15), MIT Press 2003, to appear.
2. Andrews, S. and Laidlaw, D. H., Toward a framework for assembling broken pottery vessels. In *Proceedings of the 18th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 945–946, 2002.
3. Bakircioglu, M., Grenander, U., Khaneja, N., and Miller, M. I., Curve matching on brain surfaces using induced Frenet distance metrics, *Human Brain Mapping*, 6(5):329–331, 1998.
4. Balmelli, L., Taubin, G., and Bernardini, F., Space-optimized texture maps, in *Proceedings of Eurographics 2002*, Germany, September 2002.

5. Barzohar, M. and Cooper, D. B., Automatic finding of main roads in aerial images by using geometric-stochastic models and estimation, *IEEE Trans. On PAMI*, Vol. 18, No.7, pp. 707–721, July 1996.
6. Bernardini, F., Martin, I., Mittleman, J., Rushmeier, H., and Taubin, G., Building a digital model of Michelangelo’s Florentine Pieta’, *IEEE Computer Graphics & Applications*, January/February 2002.
7. Bienenstock, E., Geman, S., and Potter, D., Compositionality, MDL priors, and object recognition, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 9*, M.C. Mozer, M.I. Jordan, and T. Petsche, eds., MIT Press, pp. 838–844, 1997.
8. Elie Bienenstock and Reni Doursat, A Shape-Recognition Dynamical Links, *Network: Computation in Neural Systems*, 5:241–258, 1994.
9. Stuart Geman, Elie Bienenstock and Reni Doursat, Neural Networks and the Bias/Variance Dilemma, *Neural Computation*, 4: 1–58, 1992.
10. Christoph von der Malsburg and Elie Bienenstock, A Neural Network for Invariant Pattern Recognition, *Europhysics Letters*, 4: 121–126, 1987.
11. Black, M. J. and Fleet, D. J., Probabilistic detection and tracking of motion discontinuities, *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 38(3):231–245, July 2000.
12. Black, M. J., Fleet, D. J., Yacoob, Y., Robustly estimating changes in image appearance, *Computer Vision and Image Processing*, 78(1):8–31, 2000.
13. Black, M. J. and Jepson, A., EigenTracking: Robust matching and tracking of articulated objects using a view-based representation, *Int. Journal of Computer Vision*, 26(1), pp. 63–84, 1998.
14. Black, M. J. and Yacoob, Y. Recognizing facial expressions in image sequences using local parameterized models of image motion, *Int. Journal of Computer Vision*, 25(1), pp. 23–48, 1997.
15. Black, M. J. and Anandan, P., The robust estimation of multiple motions: Parametric and piecewise-smooth flow fields, *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, CVIU, 63(1), pp. 75–104, Jan. 1996.
16. Blais, B. S., Intrator, N., Shouval, H., and Cooper, L. N. (1998). Receptive field formation in natural scene environments: comparison of single cell learning rules. 10(7):1797–1813.
17. Blane, M., Lei, Z., Civi, H., and Cooper, D. B., The 3L algorithm for fitting implicit polynomial curves and surfaces to data, *IEEE Trans. On PAMI*, Vol. 22, No.3, pp. 298–313, 2000.
18. Cooper, D. B., Willis, A., Andrews, S., Baker, J., Cao, Y., Han, D., Kang, K., Kong, W., Leymarie, F., Orriols, X., Velipasalar, S., Vote, E., Joukowsky, M., Kimia, B. J., Laidlaw, D. H., and Mumford, D., Bayesian virtual pot-assembly from fragments as problems in perceptual-grouping and geometric-learning. *In Proceedings of ICPR*, volume 3, pages 30297–30302, 2002.
19. Cooper, D. B., Willis, A., Andrews, S., Baker, J., Cao, Y., Han, D., Kang, K., Wong, W., Leymarie, F.F., Orriols, X., Velipasalar, S., Vote, E.L., Joukowsky, M.S., Kimia, B.B., Laidlaw, D.H., and Mumford, D., Assembling virtual pots from 3D measurements of their fragments, *Proc. Of the Virtual Reality Archaeology and Cultural heritage Symposium (VAST)*, Athens, Greece, Nov. 2001.
20. Domini, F., Vuong, Q., and Caudek, C., Temporal integration in structure from motion. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 28, pp. 816–838, 2002.

21. Domini, F. Caudek, C. Perceiving surface slant from deformation of optic flow. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 25, pp. 426–444, 1999.
22. Domini, F., Caudek, C. and Richman, S. Distortions of depth-order relations and parallelism in structure from motion. *Perception and Psychophysics.*, 60, pp. 1164–1174, 1998.
23. Domini, F. and Braunstein, M. L. Recovery of 3D structure from motion is neither Euclidean nor affine. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 24, pp. 1273–1295, 1998.
24. Domini, F., Caudek, C. and Proffitt, D.R. Misperceptions of angular velocities influence the perception of rigidity in the Kinetic Depth Effect. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 23, pp. 1111–1129, 1997.
25. Duchon, A., Warren, W. H., and Kaelbling, L. P., Ecological robotics. *Special issue of Adaptive Behavior*, 6, pp. 473–507, 1998.
26. Duchon, A.P. and Warren, W.H., A visual equalization strategy for locomotor control: Of honeybees, humans, and robots. *Psychological Science*, 13, pp. 272–278, 2002.
27. Edelman, S. and Intrator, N. (2000). Coarse coding of shape fragments + retinotopy representation of structure. *Spatial Vision*, 13:255–264.
28. Gauthier, I., Hayward, W. G., Tarr, M. J., Anderson, A., Skudlarski, P., and Gore, J. C., BOLD activity during mental rotation and viewpoint-dependent object recognition. *Neuron*, 34(1):161–171, 2002.
29. D. Geman, S. Geman and D.E. McClure, A nonlinear filter for film restoration and other problems in image processing, *CVGIP: Graphical Models and Image Processing*, 54, 281–289, 1992.
30. S. Geman and D.E. McClure, Statistical methods for tomographic image reconstruction, *Bulletin of the International Statistical Institute*, 52 1987.
31. S. Geman, K. Manbeck and D.E. McClure, A comprehensive statistical model for single photon emission computed tomography, in *Markov Random Fields: Theory and Application*, (R. Chellappa and A. Jain, eds.), Academic Press (Harcourt Brace Jovanovich), 1993, 93–130.
32. Geman, S. and Geman, D., Stochastic relaxation, Gibbs distributions, and the Bayesian restoration of images. *IEEE-PAMI*, 6, pp. 721–741, 1984.
33. Geman, D., Geman, S., Graffigne, C., and Dong, P., Boundary detection by constrained optimization. *IEEE-PAMI*, 12, pp. 609–628, 1990.
34. Geman, S., Manbeck, K., McClure, D.E., A comprehensive statistical model for single-photon emission tomography. In: *Markov Random Fields: Theory and Applications*. Eds. R. Chellappa and A. Jain. Academic Press, Boston, pp. 93–130, 1993.
35. Geman, S., Potter, D.F., and Chi, Z., Composition systems. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, LX, pp. 707–736, 2002.
36. Gidas, B., and Gomes, F. C., and Robertson, C., Model-based tracking of moving objects in cluttered environments, *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, Vol. LX, No. 4, 2002, pp. 737–771.
37. Gidas, B., Almeida, M., and Robertson, C., Tracking of moving objects in cluttered environments via Monte Carlo filter, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR2000)*, Barcelona, Spain, 2000, pp. 175–179.
38. Gidas, B. and Mumford, D., Stochastic models for generic images, *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, Vol. LIX, Number 1, 2001, pp. 85–111.

39. Gidas, B. and Geman, D., Image analysis and computer vision, *Spatial Statistics and Image Processing*, National Research Council, National Academy Press, pp. 1–36, 1991.
40. Gidas, B., A renormalization group approach to image processing problems, *IEEE Transactions PAMI*, 11(2):164–180, 1989.
41. Grenander, U. and Srivastava, A., Probably models for clutter in natural images, *IEEE Trans. PAMI*, 23(4):424–429, 2001.
42. Grenander, U., Miller, M. I., and Srivastava, A., Hilbert-Schmidt lower bounds for estimators on matrix Lie groups for ATR, *IEEE Trans. PAMI*, 20(8):790–802, 1998.
43. Grenander, U. and Miller, M. I., Computational anatomy: An emerging discipline, *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, LVI, No 4, pp. 617–694, December, 1998.
44. Grimm, C., Crisco, J. J., and Laidlaw, D. H., Fitting manifold surfaces to 3D point clouds. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, 124(1):136–140, February 2002.
45. Heller, A. and Mundy, J. L., Benchmark evaluation of a model-based object recognition system, *Proc. 3rd International Conference on Computer Vision*, 1990, Reprinted in *Computer Vision: Advances and Applications*, R. Kasturi and R. Jain, editors, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1991.
46. Hofmann, T., Unsupervised learning by probabilistic latent semantic analysis. *Machine Learning Journal*, 42(1), pp. 177–196, 2001.
47. Hofmann, T., Puzicha, J., and Buhmann, J. M., Unsupervised texture segmentation in a deterministic annealing framework. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 20(8): 803–818, 1998.
48. Intrator, N. and Edelman, S. (1997). Learning low dimensional representations of visual object with extensive use of prior knowledge. *Network*, 8(3):283–296.
49. Kang, K., Tarel, J.-P., Fishman, R., Cooper, D. B., A linear dual-space approach to 3D surface reconstruction from occluding contours using algebraic surfaces, *Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. On Computer Vision, Vancouver, Canada*, pp. 198–204, 2001.
50. Kearns, M., Warren, W. H., Duchon, A., and Tarr, M., Path integration from optic flow and body senses in a homing task. *Perception*, 31, pp. 349–374, 2002.
51. Kimia, B. B., Tannenbaum, A. R., and Zucker, S. W., On the evolution of curves via a function of curvature, I: The classical case. *JMAA*, 163(2):438–458, January 1992.
52. Kimia, B. B., Tannenbaum, A. R., and Zucker, S. W., Shapes, shocks, and deformations, I: The components of shape and the reaction-diffusion space. *IJCV*, 15(3):189–224, 1995.
53. Kimia, B. B., On the role of medial geometry in human vision, *Journal of Physiology*, 2003, To Appear.
54. Kunsch, H., Geman, S., and Kehagias, A., Hidden Markov random fields. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 5, pp. 577–602, 1995.
55. Kutliroff, G. Approximation in an Adaptive Cosine Basis and Its Application to Image Compression, Ph.D. Thesis, Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, May 2002. Available at www.dam.brown.edu/people/gershom.
56. Laidlaw, D. H., Fleischer, K. W., and Barr, A. H., Partial-volume Bayesian classification of material mixtures in MR volume data using voxel histograms. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 17(1):74–86, February 1998.

57. Lee, A. B., Pedersen, K. S., Mumford, D., The nonlinear statistics of high-contrast patches in natural images (with Ann Lee and Kim Pedersen), to appear in *Int. J. Comp. Vision*. IS THIS IN THE SPECIAL ISSUE??? Yes!!
58. Lee, T. S. and Mumford, D., Hierarchical Bayesian inference in the visual cortex, submitted to *J. of the Optical Society of America*.
59. Li, L. and Warren, W. H., Perception of heading during rotation: Sufficiency of dense motion parallax and reference objects. *Vision Research*, 40, ppp. 3873–3894, 2000,
60. Miller, M., Christensen, G., Amit, Y., and Grenander, U., Mathematical Textbook of Deformable Neuroanatomies, *Proc. of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 90, No 24, 11944–11948, 1993.
61. Mumford, D. and Gidas, B., Stochastic models for generic images, *Quarterly Appl. Math.*, **59**, pp.85-111, 2001
62. Mumford, D., Pattern theory: The mathematics of perception, in *Proceedings of ICM 2002, Beijing*, vol. 1, 2002.
63. Mumford, D., The shape of objects in two and three dimensions, Gibbs Lecture, to appear in *Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2003.
64. Mundy, J. L., Object recognition based on geometry: Progress over three decades, *Philosophical Transactions: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, of the Royal Society*, Vol 356, pp. 1213–1231, 1998.
65. Mundy, J. L., Object recognition: The search for representation, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 994, 1995.
66. Mundy, J. L. and Zisserman, A., Applications of Geometric Invariance in Computer Vision, MIT Press, 1992.
67. Paradiso MA, Neuronal and perceptual correspondence in primary visual cortex. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 12: 155-161, 2002.
68. Macevoy SP, Paradiso MA, Lightness constancy in primary visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 98: 8827-8831, 2001.
69. Rossi AF and Paradiso MA, Neural correlates of brightness in the responses of neurons in the retina, LGN, and primary visual cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* 19: 6145-6156, 1999.
70. Puzicha, J., Hofmann, T. and Buhmann, J. M., Histogram clustering for unsupervised segmentation and image retrieval, *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 20, pp. 899–909, 1999.
71. Rothwell, C. A., Forsyth, D. A., Zisserman, A., and Mundy, J. L., Extracting projective structure from single perspective views of 3-d point sets, *Proc. 4th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision*, 1993.
72. Sebastian, T., Crisco, J., and Kimia, B., Segmentation of carpal bones for accurate measurement of 3D *in vivo* carpal kinematics. *Medical Image Analysis*, 7(1):21–45, March 2003.
73. Sebastian, T., Klein, P., and Kimia, B. B. Recognition of shapes by editing their shock graphs. *PAMI*, 2003. Under minor revision.
74. Sheinberg DL, Logothetis N.K. Perceptual learning and the development of complex visual representations in temporal cortical neurons. In M. Fahle and T. Poggio (Eds.), *Perceptual Learning*, MIT Press, 95-124. 2002
75. Sheinberg DL, Logothetis NK, Noticing familiar objects in real world scenes: The role of temporal cortical neurons in natural vision, *Journal of Neuroscience*, 21, 1340-1350, 2001.
76. Sheinberg DL, Logothetis NK (1997) The role of temporal cortical areas in perceptual organization, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 94, 3408-3413, 1997.

77. Siddiqi, K., Kimia, B. B., Tannenbaum, A. R., and Zucker, S. W., On the psychophysics of the shape triangle. *Vision Research*, 41(9):1153–1178, 2001.
78. Tarel, J.-P. and Cooper, D. B., The complex representation of algebraic curves and its simple exploitation for pose estimation and invariant recognition, *IEEE Trans. On Pattern. Anal. and Machine Learning*, pp. 663–674, Vol. 22, No. 7, 2000.
79. Tarr, M. J., and Cheng, Y. D., Learning to see faces and objects. *TRENDS in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(1):23-30, 2003.
80. Tarr, M. J., and Warren, W. H., Virtual reality in behavioral neuroscience and beyond. *Nature Neuroscience Supplement*, 5, pp. 1089–1092, 2002.
81. Tarr, M. J., and Gauthier, I., FFA: A Flexible Fusiform Area for subordinate-level visual processing automatized by expertise. *Nature Neuroscience*, 3(8):764–769, 2000.
82. Tarr, M. J., Williams, P., Hayward, W. G., and Gauthier, I., Three-dimensional object recognition is viewpoint-dependent. *Nature Neuroscience*, 1(4):275–277, 1998.
83. Taubin, G., BLIC: Bi-level isosurface compression, *Proceedings of IEEE Visualization 2002*, Boston, October 2002.
84. Taubin, G., Gueziec, A., Horn, W., and Lazarus, F., Progressive forest split compression, *Siggraph'98*, Orlando, FL, July 1998.
85. Taubin, G. and Rossignac, J., Geometric Compression through Topological Surgery *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 1998.
86. Warren, W. H., Kay, B. A., Duchon, A. P., Zosh, W., and Sahuc, S., Optic flow is used to control human walking. *Nature Neuroscience*, 4, pp. 213–216. 2001.
87. Warren, W. H., Optic flow. In L. Chalupa and J. Werner (Eds.) *The Visual Neurosciences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, in press.
88. Festa, E.K. and Welch, L., Recruitment mechanisms in speed and fine-direction discrimination tasks. *Vision Research*, 37(22), 3129-3144, 1997.
89. Welch, L., MacLeod, D.I.A. and McKee, S. P., Motion interference: Perturbing perceived direction. *Vision Research*, 37(19), 2725-2736, 1997.
90. Matthews, N. and Welch, L. (1997) The effect of inducer polarity and contrast on the perception of illusory figures. *Perception*, 26, 1431-1443, 1997.

